

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,605	11/20/2003	Max C. Knees	200311038	7176
	7590 07/11/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAM	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			KEEFER, MICHAEL E	
	JAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION NS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
				,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/716,605	KNEES ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Michael E. Keefer	2154			
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		L			
Period fo	r Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 November 2003</u> .					
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
اــا(ە	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)🖾	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachmer	nt(s)		•			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I				

Art Unit: 2154

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Application filed 11/20/2003.

#### Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: It was not executed in accordance with either 37 CFR 1.66 or 1.68.

Inventor Eric Pulsipher's signature is missing from the Delcaration.

# Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The brief description of Figure 4 is missing from the Brief Description of the Drawings section.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-7, 8-10, and 11-17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding **claim 1**, it is suggested that in line 3 the word --said-- be inserted after the word "of" to improve the clarity of the claim.

Regarding **claim 2**, it is suggested that in line 2 the word "zone" be deleted and replaced with the phrase --of said zones-- to improve the clarity of the claim.

Regarding **claim 3**, it is suggested that in line 2 the word --the-- be inserted after the word "dispatching" to improve the clarity of the claim.

Art Unit: 2154

Claim 4 is objected to as depending upon objected claim 1.

Regarding **claim 5**, it is suggested that in line 6 the word --said-- be inserted after the word "each" to improve the clarity of the claim.

It is suggested that in line 7 the word --the-- be inserted after the word "inserts" to improve the clarity of the claim.

It is suggested that in line 9 the word --said-- be inserted after the word "each" to improve the clarity of the claim.

It is suggested that in line 12 the word --said-- be inserted after the word "obtaining" to improve the clarity of the claim.

Claims 6-7 are objected to as depending upon objected claims 1 and 5.

Regarding **claim 8**, it is suggested that in line 3 the word --said-- be inserted after the word "identifying" to improve the clarity of the claim.

Claims 9-10 are objected to for being dependent upon objected claim 8.

Regarding **claim 11**, it is suggested that in line 4 the word --said-- be inserted after the word "identifying" to improve the clarity of the claim.

Regarding **claim 12**, it is suggested that in line 3 the word "zone" be deleted and replaced with the phrase --of the zones-- to improve the clarity of the claim.

Claims 13-14 are objected to for being dependent upon objected claim 11.

Regarding **claim 15**, it is suggested that the word "table" in lines 7 and 8 be deleted and replaced with the word --file-- to improve the clarity of the claim.

It is suggested that in line 8 the word --said-- be inserted after the word "each" to improve the clarity of the claim.

Art Unit: 2154

It is suggested that in line 9 the word --the-- be inserted after the word "inserts" to improve the clarity of the claim.

It is suggested that in line 11 the word —said—be inserted after the word "each" to improve the clarity of the claim.

Claims 16-17 are objected to for depending from objected claims 11 and 15.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

## 5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claim 11, the "machine readable medium," in accordance with Applicant's specification, may be software per se. This subject matter is not limited to that which falls within a statutory category of invention because it is not limited to a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter. Instead, it includes functional descriptive material. Functional descriptive material does not fall within a statutory category since it is clearly not a series of steps or acts to constitute a process, not a mechanical device or combination of mechanical devices to constitute a machine, not a tangible physical article or object which is some form of matter to be a product and constitute a manufacture, and not a composition of two or more substances to constitute a composition of matter.

Art Unit: 2154

Claims 12-17, which depend from claim 11 do not remedy the deficiencies of claim 11 and thus are rejected for the same.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Raab et al. (US 5850397), hereafter Raab.

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 11, Raab discloses:

dividing the network into zones of network devices; (Raab divides the network into "spheres" which are zones. (Abstract))

in a first zone of the network, identifying devices in the zone that have SNMP(Simple Network Management Protocol) access; (Raab identifies at least one SNMP-aware agent for each sphere, step 404)

collecting data from the identified devices; (step 408)

stitching the collected data into a topology of the network.(step 412)

Regarding claims 2, 10 and 12 as applied to claims 1, 8 and 11, Raab

discloses:

repeating the steps of identifying, collecting and stitching for each zone in the network.

(Step 410 repeats the process until all spheres are resolved.)

Regarding claims 3, and 13, as applied to claims 1 and 11, Raab discloses:

Art Unit: 2154

dispatching identified devices in the zone to agents; and collecting the data from the identified devices via the agents using the SNMP access. (the identified devices in each sphere are inherently assigned to a snmp agent from which the global agent retrieves topology data from. See Col. 6, as well as the definitions in col. 10 describing various SNMP agents that are available to handle individual devices.)

Regarding claims 4 and 14 as applied to claims 1, 3, and 11, Raab discloses: caching the collected data; and downloading the topology into a database. (the collected data is inherently cached as it is not possible to detect a change in topology without having the previous configuration.)

Regarding claims 5 and 15 and as applied to claims 1 and 11, Raab discloses:

a first module receiving a list of managed nodes in the network and publishing the list of managed nodes to a first file; (it is inherent that the list of nodes is a file; a list of nodes is generated during sphere determination as disclosed in Col. 6)

a second module reading the first file and inserting data from the first file into a returns portion of a first database invoking a third module upon each insertion of data from the first file into the returns portion of the first database, which inserts data from the returns portion of the first database into a processing portion of the first database; (Col. 6 discloses two "sets" or databases, one for discovered and unprossesed networks, and one for processed networks.)

Art Unit: 2154

invoking a fourth module upon each insertion of data into the processing portion of the first database, the fourth module identifying nodes corresponding to the inserted data to a dispatch portion of a second database; and (Col. 6 lines 39-56)

a details agent obtaining node identifications from the dispatch portion of the second database, performing queries to the nodes corresponding to the node identifications, and inserting information received in response to the queries into a returns portion of the second database. (Col. 6 lines 48-61)

Regarding claims 6 and 16 and as applied to claims 1, 5, 11 and 15, Raab discloses:

invoking a fifth module, which accesses the returns portion of the second database, computes a list of the zones, and dispatches valid nodes in the first zone to active agents via a dispatch portion of a third database; the agents collecting data from the valid nodes and returning the collected data to a returns portion of the third database. (Col. 11, lines 30-56 describe how a particular node is assigned to a particular sphere agent (i.e. a dispatch portion of a third database))

Regarding claims 7 and 17 and as applied to claims 1, 5-6, 11, and 15-16, Raab discloses:

invoking a sixth module, which causes the collected data in the returns portion of the third database to be processed into discovery topology data of the network and then downloaded; invoking a seventh module, which clears the dispatch and returns portions of the third database and refreshes topology and layer databases and signals that topological analysis with respect to the zone has been completed. (It is disclosed that

Art Unit: 2154

after a sphere is completed its information is removed from the set of spheres that need to be determined (Col. 9), and after the spheres are determined, the topology determination and aggregation described in columns 9 and 10 take place.)

Regarding claim 9, and as applied to claim 10, Raab discloses:

a Graphical User Interface; and the system comprises means for caching data. (the collected data is inherently cached as it is not possible to detect a change in topology without having the previous configuration.)

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1, 8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goringe et al (US 2003/0043820), hereafter Goringe.

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 11, Raab discloses:

dividing the network into zones of network devices; (Goringe discloses dividing a network into regions ([0009] lines 2-3)

in a first zone of the network, identifying devices in the zone that have SNMP([0049] discloses identifying if the device being evaluated is capable of SNMP (i.e. there is not a SNMP error. See lines 11-12.))

Application/Control Number: 10/716,605 Page 9

Art Unit: 2154

collecting data from the identified devices; (If the device successfully supports SNMP collects information from the device, [0049] lines 12-19) stitching the collected data into a topology of the network (lines 9-11 of the abstract))

### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

"An Algorithm for Automatic Topology Discovery of IP Networks" by Lin et al. discloses a topology discovery algorithm for IP networks which includes discovering whether nodes are capable of supporting SNMP or not.

"Topology Discovery for Large Ethernet Networks" by Lowekamp et al. discloses

a method for finding accurate network topology information.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael E. Keefer whose telephone number is (571) 270-1591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7am-4:30pm, second Fridays 7am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,605 Page 10

Art Unit: 2154

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MEK 6/27/2007

NATHAN FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER